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Abstract. Draft, 17th October 2007. We consider a finite group acting on a graded module and define an equivariant degree that generalizes the usual non-equivariant degree. The value of this degree is a module for the group, up to a rational multiple. We investigate how this behaves when the module is a ring and apply our results to reprove some results of Kuhn on the cohomology of groups.

1. Introduction

We consider a finitely generated graded module $M$ over a graded ring $R$ that is finitely generated over some base field $k$ and such that $R_0$ is finite dimensional over $k$. We suppose that there is a finite group $G$ that acts on $M$, preserving the grading and commuting with $R$.

To this data we associate a formal Laurent series $[M]$ in $t$ in which the coefficient of $t^r$ is the homogeneous part $M_r$, considered as a $kG$-module. The difficulty of the theory depends on whether we wish to keep track of these modules up to isomorphism (i.e. in the Green ring) or only up to composition factors (in the representation ring). We develop both cases.

This series $[M]$ is shown to satisfy a form of the Hilbert-Serre Theorem (in particular it is a rational function, or at least a sum of them in the Green ring case). We define the equivariant degree $\deg_G M$ to be the coefficient of the leading term when we expand $[M]$ as a Laurent series in $1 - t$. This is a $kG$-module up to rational multiple, although there is sometimes a problem of whether it is well defined in the Green ring case. The dimension of this module agrees with the usual definition of the degree in the non-equivariant case.

We investigate various properties of the equivariant degree, in particular Theorem 6.4, which lists several equivalent characterizations.

In Section 7, we go on to consider the case of the homogeneous coordinate ring on a projective variety and show that in this case the degree is always defined and it is a permutation module that can be easily described in terms of the geometry. Finally, in Section 8, this theory is applied to the variety associated to the cohomology of a group to reprove a result of Nick Kuhn on the action of the outer automorphism group of a $p$-group $G$ on the cohomology $H^*(G; \mathbb{F}_p)$.

2. General Setup

Let $R = \bigoplus_{j=0}^{\infty} R_j$ be a commutative graded algebra over a field $k$. We suppose that $R$ is a finitely generated $k$-algebra and that $R_0$ is finite dimensional over $k$, so all the homogeneous components $R_j$ are also finite dimensional vector spaces over $k$. Let $G$ be a finite group and let $M = \bigoplus_{i=N}^{\infty} M_i$ a finitely generated graded left $RG$-module, where the action of $G$ preserves the grading and each $M_i$ is a finite dimensional $k$-vector space.

We recall some facts about the Hilbert series $H(M, t) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \dim_k(M_i) t^i$ of $M$. The graded version of Noether normalization (see Theorem 2.2.7 in [1]) guarantees the existence
of homogeneous elements $d_1, d_2, \ldots, d_n$ of positive degrees in $R$ that generate a polynomial subring $k[d_1, \ldots, d_n]$ of $R$ and such that $R$ is finitely generated as a $k[d_1, \ldots, d_n]$-module. We write $|d_i| := \deg(d_i)$ for the degree of $d_i$. The number $n$ is equal to the Krull dimension of $R$. By the Hilbert-Serre Theorem (see e.g. 2.1.1 in [1]) the Hilbert series $H(M, t)$ is of the form

$$H(M, t) = \frac{f(M, t)}{\prod_{i=1}^{n}(1 - t^{|d_i|})},$$

where $f(M, t)$ is a Laurent polynomial with integer coefficients. As in [1, Section 2.4], for example, the rational number $\deg(M)$ is defined by the Laurent expansion of $H(M, t)$ about $t = 1$:

$$H(M, t) = \frac{\deg(M)}{(1 - t)^n} + O\left(\frac{1}{(1 - t)^{n-1}}\right).$$

Obviously the definition of the degree $\deg(M)$ ignores the action of $G$ on $M$. In the next two sections, we shall define an equivariant analogue $\deg_G(M)$, which also incorporates the group action.

First, we define the degree of certain Laurent series. Let $p(t)$ be a Laurent series of the form

$$p(t) = \sum_{i=N}^{\infty} a_i t^i = \frac{g(t)}{\prod_{i=1}^{n}(1 - t^{|d_i|})},$$

where the $a_i$ are rational numbers and $g(t)$ is a Laurent polynomial with rational coefficients. We define the rational number $\deg(p(t))$ to be the coefficient of $\frac{1}{(1-t)^n}$ in the Laurent expansion of $p(t)$ about $t = 1$ and we call $\deg(p(t))$ the degree of $p(t)$. If we want to emphasize the dependency on $n$ we write $\deg^n(p(t))$ instead of $\deg(p(t))$. In particular, we have $\deg(H(M, t)) = \deg(M)$ with $\deg(M)$ as in (1).

3. EQUIVARIANT DEGREE OVER THE GREEN RING

As usual, the Green ring $a(kG)$ is defined to be the ring with generators the isomorphism classes $|V|$ of $kG$-modules, and relations $|V| + |W| = |V \oplus W|$, $|V| \cdot |W| = |V \otimes_k W|$. We set $a(kG)_{\mathbb{Q}} := \mathbb{Q} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} a(kG)$. The representation ring $R(kG)$ is defined to be the quotient of $a(kG)$ by the ideal generated by the elements $|V_2| - |V_1| - |V_3|$, where $0 \to V_1 \to V_2 \to V_3 \to 0$ is a short exact sequence of $kG$-modules. We set $R(kG)_{\mathbb{Q}} := \mathbb{Q} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} R(kG)$.

We will consider two versions of the equivariant degree: one is an element of $a(kG)_{\mathbb{Q}}$, but is not always defined; the other is a weaker one, which is an element of $R(kG)_{\mathbb{Q}}$, but it is always defined. The main tool used in the definition of the former is the following Weak Structure Theorem 3.1, so-called because it is a generalization of the Structure Theorem of [15].

**Theorem 3.1.** For any finitely generated graded $k[d_1, \ldots, d_n]G$-module $M$,

$$M \cong \bigoplus_{U \in \text{Indecom}(M)} \bigoplus_{I \subseteq \{1, \ldots, n\}} k[d_I] \otimes_k X_{U,I},$$

as a $kG$-module, where $X_{U,I}$ is a finite dimensional graded $kG$-module that is a sum of $U$’s (ignoring grading) and $k[d_I] = k[d_i \mid i \in I]$. The map from right to left is given by multiplication.
Proof. The only difference between this theorem and Proposition 4.4 of [15] is that there Indecompl(M) is supposed to be finite. But the same proof works, although it is better to keep the different indecomposables separate by using the double summation, as in the statement above, rather than combining them as $X_I = \bigoplus_{U \in \text{Indecompl}(M)} X_{U,I}$ as in [15]. □

Next we describe the definition of the degree with values in $a(kG)$. For each $i$, the $kG$-module $M_i$ defines an element $|M_i|$ of $a(kG)$. So in this situation $[M]$ coincides with the usual Hilbert series of $M$. The equivariant Hilbert series has the following basic properties:

**Lemma 3.3.** Suppose $M' = \bigoplus_{i=N}^{\infty} M'_i$ is another finitely generated graded left RG-module, such that the action of $G$ preserves the grading and every $M'_i$ is a finite dimensional $k$-vector space. Then

$$[M \oplus M'] = [M] + [M'] \quad \text{and} \quad [M \otimes_k M'] = [M] \cdot [M'].$$

**Proof.** Clear. □

Besides the Hilbert series $H(M, t)$ we can consider a Hilbert series that counts the multiplicity of some isomorphism class of indecomposable summands. Let Indecompl(M) be a set of representatives for the isomorphism classes of all indecomposable $kG$-modules which occur as a direct summand of some $M_i$ and let $m_{U,i}$ be the multiplicity of $U \in \text{Indecompl}(M)$ as a direct summand of $M_i$. We set $H_U(M, t) := \sum_{i=N}^{\infty} m_{U,i} t^i$. The Laurent series $H_U(M, t)$ can be written as a rational function too.

**Proposition 3.4.** For each $U \in \text{Indecompl}(M)$ the Laurent series $H_U(M, t)$ can be written as

$$H_U(M, t) = \frac{f_U(M, t)}{\prod_{i=1}^{n} (1 - t^{d_i})},$$

where $f_U(M, t)$ is a Laurent polynomial in $t$ with integer coefficients.

**Proof.** This is a consequence of the Weak Structure Theorem 3.1. □

Let $F$ be an arbitrary finite subset of Indecompl(M). We consider the Laurent series with integer coefficients $q(t) := H(M, t) - \sum_{U \in F} \dim_k(U) H_U(M, t)$. By definition of the Hilbert series, all the coefficients of $q(t)$ are non-negative integers, and $q(t)$ is of the form

$$q(t) = \frac{g(t)}{\prod_{i=1}^{n} (1 - t^{d_i})}$$

with coefficients in $a(kG)$ the **equivariant Hilbert series of $M$ with coefficients in the Green ring**.

Clearly, if $G = \{1\}$ is the trivial group, we can identify $|M_i|$ with the dimension of $M_i$ as a $k$-vector space. So in this situation $[M]$ coincides with the usual Hilbert series of $M$. The equivariant Hilbert series has the following basic properties:
for some Laurent polynomial $g(t)$ with integer coefficients since something similar holds for $H(M, t)$ and $H_U(M, t)$ by Proposition 3.4. So we can take degrees and obtain

$$\deg(M) = \left( \sum_{U \in F} \dim_k(U) \deg(H_U(M, t)) \right) + \deg(q(t)).$$

It turns out that all the degrees occurring in (3) are non-negative with bounded denominators by the following result.

**Lemma 3.5.** Suppose that

$$p(t) = \frac{h(t)}{\prod_{i=1}^{n} (1 - t^{i})} = \sum_{i=N}^{\infty} a_i t^i$$

where $h(t)$ is a Laurent polynomial with rational coefficients and the $a_i$’s are non-negative integers. Then $\deg(p(t)) \geq 0$. If all the coefficients of $h(t)$ are integers then $\deg(p(t))$ is of the form $\deg(p(t)) = \frac{d}{\prod_{i=1}^{n} |d_i|}$ for some non-negative integer $d$.

**Proof.** We compute:

$$\deg(p(t)) = \lim_{t \to 1} (1-t)^n p(t) = \lim_{t \to 1} \frac{h(t)}{\prod_{i=1}^{n} (1 + t + \cdots + t^{d_i-1})} = \frac{h(1)}{\prod_{i=1}^{n} |d_i|}.$$ We still have to show that $\deg(p(t)) \geq 0$. Since multiplication with $\prod_{i=1}^{n} (1 + t + \cdots + t^{d_i-1})$ and a suitable power of $t$ does not affect the sign of the degree or the sign of the $a_i$’s we may assume that $p(t)$ is a Laurent polynomial in $1 - t$ with rational coefficients, that is that

$$p(t) = \frac{b_{-n}}{(1-t)^n} + \frac{b_{1-n}}{(1-t)^{n-1}} + \cdots + b_{m-1}(1-t)^{m-1} + b_m(1-t)^m$$

for some rational numbers $b_i$ and a non-negative integer $m$. In particular, $b_{-n} = \deg(p(t))$. Expanding the $\frac{1}{(1-t)^p}$’s as power series in $t$ and comparing the coefficients of $t^i$ we see that there exists a polynomial $r(i)$ in $i$ of degree at most $n - 2$ (or $r(i) = 0$ if $n = 1$) with coefficients depending on $n$ and the $b_j$’s such that $a_i = \frac{1}{(n-1)!} b_n i^{n-1} + r(i)$ for all large enough $i$. So the condition $a_i \geq 0$ implies that $\deg(p(t)) = b_n \geq 0$. \hfill \Box

**Corollary 3.6.** There are only finitely many $U \in \text{Indecomp}(M)$ with $\deg(H_U(M, t)) \neq 0$ and we have

$$\sum_{U} \dim_k(U) \deg(H_U(M, t)) \leq \deg(M)$$

where the sum means the sum over all those $U \in \text{Indecomp}(M)$ with $\deg(H_U(M, t)) \neq 0$.

**Proof.** This follows from Equation (3) and Lemma 3.5. \hfill \Box

We can now define the equivariant degree with values in the Green ring.

**Definition 3.7.** We say that $\deg_G(M)$ is defined (over the Green ring) if

$$\sum_{U} \dim_k(U) \deg(H_U(M, t)) = \deg(M).$$
In this case we call \( \deg_G(M) := \sum_{U \in F} \deg(H_U(M, t)) [U] \in a(kG)_\mathbb{Q} \) the \textit{equivariant degree of} \( M \) \textit{(in the Green ring)}. If we want to emphasize the dependency on \( n \) we write \( \deg^n_G(M) \) instead of \( \deg_G(M) \).

The existence of the degree in the Green ring can be characterized as follows.

**Lemma 3.8.** For \( R, G, M \) as above the following statements are equivalent.

1. \( \deg_G(M) \) is defined in the Green ring.
2. There is a finite set \( F \) of indecomposable \( kG \)-modules such that
   \[
   \sum_{U \in F} \dim_k(U) \deg(H_U(M, t)) = \deg(M).
   \]
3. There is a finite set \( F \) of indecomposable \( kG \)-modules such that
   \[
   \deg \left( \sum_{U \in F} \dim_k(U) H_U(M, t) \right) = 0.
   \]

Here we have set \( \sum_{U \in F} \dim_k(U) H_U(M, t) := H(M, t) - \sum_{U \in F} \dim_k(U) H_U(M, t) \).

**Proof.** This is clear from the definition of \( \deg_G(M) \). \( \square \)

Certainly the equivariant degree \( \deg_G(M) \) is defined if \( M \) has only finitely many isomorphism types of indecomposable summands. For example, this is the case if \( k \) is a finite field, \( M \) a polynomial ring in \( n \) variables over \( k \), \( G \) a finite group acting on this polynomial ring by homogeneous linear substitutions and \( R = M^G \) the ring of invariants (see Theorem 17.1 in [9]). The following example shows that there are situations where \( \deg_G(M) \) is not defined:

**Example** (see Example 4.4 in [10]). Let \( k \) be a field of two elements and \( R = k[x, y] \) a polynomial ring in two variables over \( k \). The Klein four group \( G = \langle \alpha, \beta \rangle \cong \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2 \) acts on \( M = k[x, y] \langle 1, z \rangle \) by \( \alpha : z \mapsto z + x \) and \( \beta : z \mapsto z + y \). We can regard \( M \) as a subset of \( k[x, y, z] \) or as a free \( R \)-module of rank two.

If we attach a grading to \( R \) and the module \( M \) by assigning \( x, y \) and \( z \) grading 1, then \( M \) is the direct sum \( M = \bigoplus_{i=0}^\infty M_i \). It is shown in [10] that \( M_i \cong \Omega^i k \) as \( kG \)-modules where \( \Omega^i k \) is the \( i \)-th Heller translate of the trivial \( kG \)-module \( k \). In particular, the \( M_i \)'s are indecomposable and pairwise non-isomorphic.

We have \( n = 2 \), \( \text{Indecomp}(M) = \{ \Omega^i k \mid i \in \mathbb{N}_0 \} \) and \( H_{\Omega^i k}(M, t) = t^i \). So we obtain \( \deg(H_{\Omega^i k}(M, t)) = 0 \) for all \( i \). On the other hand we have

\[
H(M, t) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \dim_k(M_i) t^i = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} (2i + 1) t^i = \frac{2}{(1-t)^2} - \frac{1}{1-t}
\]

and thus \( \deg(M) = 2 \). So \( \deg_G(M) \) is not defined in this example.

4. **Equivariant Degree in the Representation Ring**

One way to construct an equivariant degree which is defined for every module \( M \) (satisfying the assumptions in Section 2) is to work over the representation ring. In this section we will define the equivariant degree with values in the representation ring.

The first steps are very similar to those for the Green ring. Let \( R, G \) and \( M \) be as in Section 2. For each \( i \), the \( kG \)-module \( M_i \) defines an element \( \mid M_i \mid \) of \( \mathcal{R}(kG) \).
Definition 4.1. We call the Laurent series \([M] := \sum_{i}^{\infty} |M_i| t^i\) with coefficients in \(R(kG)\) the equivariant Hilbert series of \(M\) with coefficients in the representation ring.

Clearly Lemma 3.3 carries over to equivariant Hilbert series with coefficients in the representation ring.

For each irreducible \(kG\)-module \(V\) let \(m_{V,i}\) be the multiplicity of \(V\) as a composition factor of \(M_i\). We set \(H_V(M, t) := \sum_{i}^{N} m_{V,i} t^i\). We choose a polynomial subring \([k[d_1, \ldots, d_n]]\) of \(R\) as in Section 2. In fact the Laurent series \(H_V(M, t)\) can be written as a rational function.

Lemma 4.2. For each irreducible \(kG\)-module \(V\) the Laurent series \(H_V(M, t)\) can be written as

\[
H_V(M, t) = \frac{f_V(M, t)}{\prod_{i=1}^{n} (1 - t^{d_i})},
\]

where \(f_V(M, t)\) is a Laurent polynomial in \(t\) with rational coefficients. If \(k\) is a splitting field for \(V\) then all the coefficients of \(f_V(M, t)\) are integers.

Proof. Let \(P_V\) be a projective cover of \(V\). The graded \([k[d_1, \ldots, d_n]]\)-module \(\text{Hom}_{kG}(P_V, M)\) is a direct summand of the graded \([k[d_1, \ldots, d_n]]\)-module \(\text{Hom}_{kG}(kG, M) \cong M\). This implies that \(\text{Hom}_{kG}(P_V, M)\) is finitely generated as \([k[d_1, \ldots, d_n]]\)-module. So, by the Hilbert-Serre Theorem 2.1.1 in [1], the Hilbert series \(H(\text{Hom}_{kG}(P_V, M), t)\) has the form \(\frac{f_V(t)}{\prod_{i=1}^{n} (1 - t^{d_i})}\) for some Laurent polynomial \(\tilde{f}_V(t)\) with integer coefficients. Since \(\dim_k(\text{Hom}_{kG}(P_V, M_i)) = \dim_k(\text{End}_{kG}(V)) \cdot m_{V,i}\) we get

\[
H_V(M, t) = \frac{1}{\dim_k(\text{End}_{kG}(V))} H(\text{Hom}_{kG}(P_V, M), t) = \frac{\tilde{f}_V(t)}{\prod_{i=1}^{n} (1 - t^{d_i})}.
\]

If \(k\) is a splitting field for \(V\) then \(\dim_k(\text{End}_{kG}(V)) = 1\).

Corollary 4.3. The equivariant Hilbert series \([M]\) with coefficients in the representation ring is of the form

\[
[M] = \frac{[f](M, t)}{\prod_{i=1}^{n} (1 - t^{d_i})}
\]

where \([f](M, t)\) is a Laurent polynomial with coefficients in \(R(kG)_Q\). If \(k\) is a splitting field for \(G\) then all the coefficients of \([f](M, t)\) are elements of \(R(kG)\).

Proof. This follows from Lemma 4.2.

Now we can define the equivariant degree with values in the representation ring:

Definition 4.4. We call \(\deg_{kG}(M) := \sum_V \deg(H_V(M, t)) |V| \in R(kG)_Q\) the equivariant degree of \(M\) (in the representation ring). Here the sum varies over a set of representatives for the isomorphism classes of irreducible \(kG\)-modules. If we want to emphasize the dependency on \(n\) we also write \(\deg^n_{kG}(M)\) instead of \(\deg_{kG}(M)\).

We use the same notation for the two degrees, specifying the ring in which the values lie explicitly when necessary. In any case the two versions are compatible in the following sense. Let \(\pi : a(kG)_Q \rightarrow R(kG)_Q\) denote the canonical map.

Proposition 4.5. The map \(\pi\) takes the equivariant degree of \(M\) in the Green ring to the equivariant degree of \(M\) in the representation ring whenever the former is defined.
Proof. Suppose that $\deg_G(M)$ is defined in the Green ring. For each $U \in \text{Indecomp}(M)$ and each irreducible $kG$-module $V$ let $\mu_{U,V}$ be the multiplicity of $V$ as a composition factor of $U$ and choose a finite subset $F$ of $\text{Indecomp}(M)$ as in Lemma 3.8. We set

$$
\sum_{U \notin F} \dim_k(U)H(U, t) := H(M, t) - \sum_{U \in F} \dim_k(U)H(U, t)
$$

and

$$
\sum_{U \notin F} \mu_{U,V}H(U, t) := H_V(M, t) - \sum_{U \in F} \mu_{U,V}H(U, t).
$$

By Lemma 3.8 we get

$$
\sum_V \dim_k(V) \cdot \deg \left( \sum_{U \notin F} \mu_{U,V}H(U, t) \right) = \deg \left( \sum_{U \notin F} \dim_k(U)H(U, t) \right) = 0
$$

where the sum $\sum_V (\ldots)$ runs over a set of representatives for the isomorphism classes of the irreducible $kG$-modules. By Lemma 3.5 all degrees occurring in (4) are non-negative. Hence $\deg \left( \sum_{U \notin F} \mu_{U,V}H(U, t) \right) = 0$ for all irreducible $kG$-modules $V$. The epimorphism $\pi$ maps the equivariant degree $\deg_G(M) = \sum_{U \in F} \deg(H(U, t))|U| \in a(kG)_Q$ to

$$
\sum_V \sum_{U \in F} \deg(H(U, t))\mu_{U,V}|V| = \sum_V \sum_{U \in F} \deg(\mu_{U,V}H(U, t))|V| =
$$

$$
\sum_V \left( \sum_{U \in F} \deg(\mu_{U,V}H(U, t)) + \deg \left( \sum_{U \notin F} \mu_{U,V}H(U, t) \right) \right)|V| = \sum_V \deg(H_V(M, t))|V|
$$

which is, by definition, the equivariant degree of $M$ over the representation ring. \hfill \Box

5. Basic properties of the equivariant degree

In this section we collect some of the basic properties of the equivariant degree. We always assume that $R$, $G$ and $M$ are as in Section 2 and that $M'$ and $M''$ are finitely generated graded left $RG$-modules, where the action of $G$ preserves the grading and every homogeneous component is finite dimensional as $k$-vector space. We choose a polynomial subring $k[d_1, \ldots, d_n]$ of $R$ as in Section 2.

We begin with a trivial observation showing that the equivariant degree coincides with the usual degree if there is “no group action”:

Lemma 5.1. If $G = \{1\}$ is the trivial group then $\deg_G(M)$ is defined and $\deg_G(M) = \deg(M)|k|$ where $k$ is the trivial $kG$-module.

Proof. This is clear from the definition of the degree. \hfill \Box

From now on $G$ is again an arbitrary finite group. The next lemma holds both for the equivariant degree taking values in the Green ring as well as for the degree taking values in the representation ring.

Lemma 5.2. If $\deg_G(M)$ is defined (which is of course always the case over the representation ring), then there is a positive integer $c$ such that $c \cdot \deg_G(M)$ is a genuine module, i.e. it is of the form $|V|$ for some $kG$-module $V$. 

Lemma 5.5. With the above notation, by \(q \in \prod_{i=1}^n |d_i|\) if \(\deg_G M\) is defined over the Green ring. In the case of the representation ring, \(c := \left( \prod_V \dim_k(\text{End}_{kG}(V)) \cdot (\prod_{i=1}^n |d_i|) \right)\) does the job (where \(V\) runs through a set of representatives for the isomorphism classes of irreducible \(kG\)-modules). \(\square\)

**Proof.** By the definition of \(\deg_G M\) and Lemma 3.5 we can take \(c := \prod_{i=1}^n |d_i|\) if \(\deg_G M\) is defined over the Green ring. In the case of the representation ring, \(c := \left( \prod_V \dim_k(\text{End}_{kG}(V)) \cdot (\prod_{i=1}^n |d_i|) \right)\) does the job (where \(V\) runs through a set of representatives for the isomorphism classes of irreducible \(kG\)-modules). \(\square\)

**Lemma 5.3.** If \(M' \hookrightarrow M \rightarrow M''\) is a short exact sequence of finitely generated graded \(RG\)-modules that is split over \(kG\), then \(\deg_G M\) is defined if and only if both \(\deg_G M'\) and \(\deg_G M''\) are defined. If this is the case then \(\deg_G M = \deg_G M' + \deg_G M''\).

This formula holds for any short exact sequence when the degree takes values in the representation ring.

**Proof.** Let \(U \in \text{Indecomp}(M)\). The splitting implies \(H_U(M, t) = H_U(M', t) + H_U(M'', t)\). So \(\sum_{U \in F} \dim_k(U) \deg(H_U(M, t)) = \sum_{U \in F} \dim_k(U) \deg(H_U(M', t)) + \sum_{U \in F} \dim_k(U) \deg(H_U(M'', t))\) with \(F\) as in Lemma 3.8. By additivity of the non-equivariant degree we have \(\deg(M) = \deg(M') + \deg(M'')\). Since all these degrees are non-negative by Lemma 3.5 we get that \(\deg_G M\) is defined if and only if both \(\deg_G M'\) and \(\deg_G M''\) are defined and in this case we get: \(\deg_G(M) = \sum_{U \in F} \deg(H_U(M, t))|U| = \sum_{U \in F} \deg(H_U(M', t))|U| + \sum_{U \in F} \deg(H_U(M'', t))|U| = \deg_G(M') + \deg_G(M'')\). The statement about the degree over the representation ring follows from \(H_V(M, t) = H_V(M', t) + H_V(M'', t)\) for every irreducible \(kG\)-module \(V\). \(\square\)

For \(W, W' \in \mathcal{R}(kG)\) we write \(W \leq W'\) if \(W' - W\) is a linear combination of isomorphism classes of \(kG\)-modules with non-negative rational coefficients. We write \(W \geq W'\) if \(W' - W\) is.

**Corollary 5.4.** For a finitely generated graded \(RG\)-module \(M\), as at the beginning of this section, the following properties hold for the degree with values in the representation ring.

1. If \(M'\) is a graded \(RG\)-submodule of \(M\) then \(\deg_G(M') \leq \deg_G(M)\).
2. If \(M'\) is a graded \(RG\)-epimorphic image of \(M\) then \(\deg_G(M) \geq \deg_G(M')\).

**Proof.** This follows from Lemmas 5.2 and 5.3. \(\square\)

For an integer \(d\) we write \(M[d]\) for \(M\) with a degree shift of \(d\), so that \(M[d]_i = M_{i+d}\). For a positive integer \(q\) let \(R^{[q]}\) be the graded \(k\)-algebra obtained from \(R\) by multiplying all degrees by \(q\), that is \((R^{[q]})_i = R_i\) and \((R^{[q]})_i = 0\) for all \(i\) not divisible by \(q\). Analogously, we can construct a graded \(R^{[q]}G\)-module \(M^{[q]}\) with \(G\)-action from \(M\) by multiplying all degrees by \(q\), that is \((M^{[q]})_i = M_i\) and \((M^{[q]})_i = 0\) for all \(i\) not divisible by \(q\).

**Lemma 5.5.** With the above notation, \(\deg_G M\) has the following properties.

1. If the Krull dimension of \(M\) is at most \(n-1\) then \(\deg_G M\) is defined and equal to 0.
2. \(\deg_G(M[d])\) is defined if and only if \(\deg_G M\) is defined. If this is the case then \(\deg_G(M[d]) = \deg_G M\).
3. \(\deg_G(M^{[q]})\) is defined if and only if \(\deg_G M\) is defined. If this is the case then \(\deg_G(M^{[q]}) = q^{-n} \deg_G M\).

**Proof.** (1) follows from the corresponding property of the non-equivariant degree (see 2.4.1 in [1]). (2) and (3) are clear. \(\square\)

Sometimes it is convenient to add an element \(z\) in degree 1 to \(R\). Then \(R[z] \otimes_k M\) is finitely generated over \(R[z]\), which has dimension \(n + 1\).
Lemma 5.6. The degree $\deg_{kG}^{n+1}(R[z] \otimes_R M)$ is defined if and only if $\deg_{kG}^n M$ is defined, and if this is the case then they are both equal.

Proof. Clear. □

Sometimes it is convenient to change the field $k$. We then write $\deg_{kG} M$ to denote the degree with value in the Green ring of $kG$.

Lemma 5.7. Let $\ell$ be a field extension of $k$.

(1) If $\deg_{kG} M$ is defined then so is $\deg_{kG}(\ell \otimes_k M)$ and $\deg_{kG}(\ell \otimes_k M) = \ell \otimes_k \deg_{kG} M$.

(2) If $\ell/k$ is finite and $L$ is a finitely generated graded $(\ell \otimes_k RG)$-module such that $\deg_{kG} L$ is defined then $\deg_{kG}(L \downarrow_{\ell}^k) = (\deg_{kG} L) \downarrow_{\ell}^k$.

(3) If $\ell/k$ is finite and if $\deg_{kG}(\ell \otimes_k M)$ is defined then so is $\deg_{kG} M$ and $\deg_{kG} M = |\ell : k|^{-1}(\deg_{kG}(\ell \otimes_k M)) \downarrow_k$.

Proof. Only (3) needs any comment. Since $(\ell \otimes_k M) \downarrow_{\ell}^k \cong M^{[\ell/k]}$, we obtain $(\deg_{kG}(\ell \otimes_k M)) \downarrow_{\ell}^k = \deg_{kG}(M^{[\ell/k]})$ by (2). But then $\deg_{kG} M$ is defined and the formula holds, by 5.3. □

6. Further Results

In this section $R = k[d_1, \ldots, d_n]$ is a graded polynomial ring with generators in positive degrees. Unless otherwise stated the degree will always take values in the Green ring.

We say that a map of $R$-modules dominates when the cokernel has dimension strictly less than $n$. This is not consistent with the customary use of dominant in algebraic geometry, but it is very convenient for us here.

Proposition 6.1. The degree $\deg_{kG} M$ of a finitely generated graded $RG$-module $M$ is defined if and only if there is a finite dimensional graded $kG$-submodule $X \subseteq M$ such that the multiplication map $R \otimes_k X \rightarrow M$ is injective and dominant and the image is a summand over $kG$. If this holds then $\deg_{kG} M = \deg R \cdot |X|$.

Proof. Suppose that such an $X$ exists; then $\deg_{kG}(M/(R \otimes_k X))$ is defined and equal to $0$ by hypothesis (take $F = \emptyset$). We claim that $\deg_{kG}(R \otimes_k X) = \deg R \cdot |X|$.

It is easy to see that $H(R \otimes_k X, t) = H(R, t)H(X, t)$, so

$$\deg_{kG}(R \otimes_k X) = \lim_{t \rightarrow 1} H(R, t)H(X, t) = \deg R \cdot |X|.$$  

By additivity (Lemma 5.3), $\deg_{kG} M$ is defined and is equal to $\deg R \cdot |X|$.

Conversely, suppose that $\deg_{kG} M$ is defined using a finite set $F \subseteq \text{Indecomp} M$. Then, using the notation of the Weak Structure Theorem 3.1, we must have

$$\deg\left(\bigoplus_{U \subseteq F} \bigoplus_{I \subseteq \{1, \ldots, n\}} k[d_I] \otimes_k X_{U, I}\right) = 0.$$

Thus we can take $X = \bigoplus_{U \subseteq F} X_{U, \{1, \ldots, n\}}$. □

A lot of our work is made easier by the next easy, but surprising, result.

Proposition 6.2. If $M$ is a finitely generated graded $RG$-module and $X$ is a finite dimensional graded $kG$-submodule such that the multiplication map $R \otimes_k X \rightarrow M$ is injective and dominates then the image is a summand over $kG$, so in particular $\deg_{kG} M$ is defined and is equal to $\deg R \cdot |X|$.
Proof. There is a homogeneous element \( z \in R \) that annihilates the cokernel. Consider the composition of maps

\[
R \otimes_k X \to M \xrightarrow{\cdot z} zM \subseteq R \otimes_k X.
\]

The image is \( zR \otimes_k X \), and since \( zR \) is a \( k \)-summand of \( R \) it follows that the image is a \( kG \)-summand of \( R \otimes_k X \). Thus the image of \( R \otimes_k X \) in \( M \) is also a summand. \( \Box \)

Given a graded commutative ring \( S \), let \( Q(S) \) denote the graded ring of fractions, where we invert all the homogeneous elements. It is a \( \mathbb{Z} \)-graded ring and \( Q(S)_0 \) is a field. \( Q(S) = \mathbb{Q}[z, z^{-1}] \), where \( z \) is an element of \( Q(S) \) of least positive degree. \( Q(S) \) is flat over \( S \).

Notice that if \( M \) is a finitely generated graded \( RG \)-module then \( Q(R) \otimes_R M \) is a finitely generated \( Q(R) \)-module and in each degree it is a finite dimensional vector space over \( Q(R)_0 \). In addition, \( Q(R) \otimes_R M = 0 \) if and only if \( \dim M < \dim R \).

**Proposition 6.3.** Let \( M \) be a finitely generated graded \( RG \)-module. Then \( \deg_G M \) is defined if and only if there is a finite dimensional graded \( kG \)-submodule \( X \subseteq Q(R) \otimes_R M \) such that \( Q(R) \otimes_R M = Q(R) \otimes_R X \). If this is the case then \( \deg_G M = \deg R \cdot |X| \).

**Proof.** If \( \deg_G M \) is defined then we have a short exact sequence \( R \otimes_k X \hookrightarrow M \rightarrow M/(R \otimes_k X) \) with \( \dim(M/(R \otimes_k X)) < \dim R \), by Proposition 6.1. If we tensor this with \( Q(R) \) we obtain \( Q(R) \otimes_k X \hookrightarrow Q(R) \otimes_R M 

\rightarrow Q(R) \otimes_R (M/(R \otimes_k X)) \). But the last term must be 0.

Conversely, suppose that we have an \( X \) satisfying the conditions of the statement of the proposition. Let \( \{x_i\} \) be a \( k \)-basis for \( X \) and write \( x_i = \sum_j a_{ij} b_{ij} m_j \), where \( a_{ij}, b_{ij} \in R \) and \( m_j \in M \), all homogeneous. Let \( \bar{b} \) be the product of all the \( b_{ij} \). Then \( \bar{b}X \subseteq M \), and we have a short exact sequence \( R \otimes_k \bar{b}X \hookrightarrow M \rightarrow M/(R \otimes_k \bar{b}X) \). But when we tensor with \( Q(R) \) the first arrow becomes an isomorphism, so we must have \( Q(R) \otimes_R (M/(R \otimes_k \bar{b}X)) = 0 \) and thus \( \dim(M/(R \otimes_k \bar{b}X)) < \dim R \), as required by 6.2. \( \Box \)

We now summarize the equivalent characterizations of the equivariant degree.

**Theorem 6.4.** Let \( M \) be a finitely generated graded \( RG \)-module. The following conditions on \( M \) are equivalent.

1. \( \deg_G M \) is defined in the Green ring.
2. There is a finite dimensional graded \( kG \)-submodule \( X \subseteq M \) such that the multiplication map \( R \otimes_k X \to M \) dominates and is split injective over \( kG \).
3. There is a finite dimensional graded \( kG \)-submodule \( Y \subseteq M \) such that the multiplication map \( R \otimes_k Y \to M \) dominates and is injective.
4. There is a finite dimensional graded \( kG \)-submodule \( Z \subseteq Q(R) \otimes_R M \) such that \( Q(R) \otimes_R M = Q(R) \otimes_R Z \).

When these conditions hold we have \( |X| = |Y| = |Z| = \frac{1}{\deg R} \deg_G M \).

**Proof.** Just combine 6.1, 6.2 and 6.3. \( \Box \)

**Lemma 6.5.** Let \( R \) and \( R' \) be polynomial rings in \( n \) and \( n' \) variables respectively and let \( M \) and \( M' \) be finitely generated graded \( RG \)- and \( R'G \)-modules respectively. Let \( L \) be a finitely generated graded \( RH \)-module and let \( H \) be a subgroup of \( G \). The degree commutes with the following operations (when the quantity on the right hand side is defined):

1. tensor product, \( \deg_{SG}^{n+n'}(M \otimes_k M') = \deg_G^S(M) \cdot \deg_G^S(M') \);
2. restriction, \( \deg_H(M \downarrow_H^G) = (\deg_G M) \downarrow_H^G \).
(3) induction, \( \deg_G(L \uparrow_H^G) = (\deg_H L) \uparrow_H^G \);
(4) fixed points, when \( H \) is a normal subgroup of \( G \), \( \deg_{G/H} M^H = (\deg_G M)^H \).

Proof. These all follow easily from property 6.4 (3). \( \square \)

In the remaining part of this section we consider how Theorem 6.4 and Lemma 6.5 can be reformulated for the degree with values in the representation ring. Clearly if one of the conditions in Theorem 6.4 is satisfied then Proposition 4.5 implies that \(|X| = |Y| = |Z| = \frac{1}{\deg R} \deg_G M\) also holds for the degree over the representation ring. The analogue of Lemma 6.5 is the following

Lemma 6.6. With the same hypotheses as in the previous lemma, the degree with values in the representation ring commutes with the following operations:

1. tensor product, \( \deg^{n+n'}_G(M \otimes_k M') = \deg^n_G(M) \cdot \deg^{n'}_G(M') \);
2. restriction, \( \deg_H(M \downarrow_H^G) = (\deg_G M) \downarrow_H^G \);
3. induction, \( \deg_G(L \uparrow_H^G) = (\deg_H L) \uparrow_H^G \).

Proof. This is straightforward and left to the reader. \( \square \)

7. Rings

Throughout this section, \( S \) will be a graded ring in non-negative degrees that is finitely generated over the field \( k \) and such that \( S_0 \) is finite dimensional over \( k \). We suppose that a finite group \( G \) acts on \( S \) by graded \( k \)-algebra automorphisms.

Geometrically, \( G \) acts as a group of automorphisms of the projective variety \( V = \text{Proj}(S) \), defined over \( k \). Conversely, \( S \) could be the homogeneous coordinate ring of a variety over \( k \) on which \( G \) acts.

The invariant subring \( S^G \) is necessarily noetherian and \( S \) is finitely generated over \( S^G \) ([1] 1.3.1). By Noether normalization, we can find a graded polynomial subring \( R \leq S^G \) such that \( S^G \) is finitely generated over \( R \) ([1] 2.2.7). Thus \( S \) is finitely generated over \( R \), and \( S \) and \( R \) have the same dimension. We need this ring \( R \) to exist in order for the preceding theory to apply, but it does not matter which ring \( R \) we choose.

Proposition 7.1. If \( S \) is an integral domain and \( G \) acts faithfully, then \( \deg_G S \) is defined and
\[
\deg_G S = \frac{\deg S}{|G|} \cdot kG.
\]

Proof. In [14], a graded submodule \( F \leq M \) is produced such that \( F \cong kG \) and such that the multiplication map \( S^G \otimes_k F \hookrightarrow S \) dominates and is split over \( kG \). It follows from the Additivity Lemma 5.3 that \( \deg_G S = \deg_G(S^G \otimes F) = \deg S^G \cdot kG \).

There is an alternative proof that we sketch here. By Lemma 5.6, we may assume that \( R \) contains an element \( z \) of degree 1. But \( S \) is an integral domain, so it injects into \( Q(S) \), thus \( G \) acts faithfully on \( Q(S) \). Since \( Q(S) = Q(S)_0[z, z^{-1}] \) and \( G \) acts trivially on \( z \), \( G \) must act faithfully on \( Q(S)_0 \). By the Normal Basis Theorem there is a basis \( \{x_g\}_{g \in G} \) for \( Q(S)_0 \) over \( Q(S)_0 \) that is freely permuted by \( G \).

But \( Q(S)_0 \) is a finite dimensional vector space over \( Q(R) \); let \( \{y_i\} \) be a basis. If we let \( X \) be the \( k \)-span of the set \( \{y, x_g\} \), then this is the module that we require. \( \square \)

Let \( \mathcal{P}_0 \) denote the (finite) set of prime ideals in \( S \) of height 0.
**Lemma 7.2.** The natural map $S \to \bigoplus_{p \in P_0} S/p$ dominates and has $\text{rad} S$ as kernel.

**Proof.** The radical is equal to the intersection of all the prime ideals, which is equal to the intersection of the minimal ones.

The claim of domination we prove by labeling the distinct prime ideals of height 0 as $p_1, \ldots, p_m$ and showing by induction on $r$ that the map $S \to \bigoplus_{i=1}^{r+1} S/p_i$ dominates.

This is clearly true when $r = 1$, and the induction step follows from considering the following diagram with exact rows and columns.

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
S/ \cap_{i=1}^{r+1} p_i & \longrightarrow & S/ \cap_{i=1}^{r} p_i \oplus S/p_{r+1} \\
\text{↓} & & \text{↓} \\
S/ \cap_{i=1}^{r+1} p_i & \longrightarrow & \bigoplus_{i=1}^{r+1} S/p_i \\
\text{↓} & & \text{↓} \\
Y & \longrightarrow & X
\end{array}
\]

The induction hypothesis applied to the middle column shows that $\dim Y < \dim S$, and $\dim S/(\cap_{i=1}^{r} p_i + p_{r+1}) < \dim S$ by construction. Thus $\dim X < \dim S$ and the middle row yields the next stage in the induction. \(\square\)

Given a prime $p < S$, let $G_p$ denote the stabilizer in $G$ of $p$ and let $\bar{G}_p$ be the pointwise stabilizer of $S/p$. We can now state a decomposition theorem for the degree of $S$.

**Theorem 7.3.** If $S$ contains no nilpotent elements then $\deg_G S$ is defined and

\[
\deg_G S = \sum_{p \in P_0/G, \dim S/p = \dim S} \frac{\deg S/p}{[G_p/G_p]} \cdot k[G_p/\bar{G}_p].
\]

**Proof.** In view of Proposition 7.1, Lemma 7.2 and Theorem 6.5, all we need to do is to show that $\deg_G (\bigoplus_{p \in P_0} S/p)$ is equal to the expression shown.

But

\[
\bigoplus_{p \in P_0} S/p \cong \bigoplus_{p \in P_0/G} S/q \cong \bigoplus_{p \in P_0/G} \text{Ind}_{G_p}^G S/p.
\]

So

\[
\deg_G (\bigoplus_{p \in P_0} S/p) \cong \bigoplus_{p \in P_0/G} \deg_G \text{Ind}_{G_p}^G S/p
\]

\[\cong \bigoplus_{p \in P_0/G} \text{Ind}_{G_p}^G \deg_{G_p} S/p \quad \text{by Lemma 6.5 (3)}\]

\[= \bigoplus_{p \in P_0/G} \text{Ind}_{G_p}^G \frac{\deg S/p}{[G_p/G_p]} \cdot k[G_p/\bar{G}_p] \quad \text{by Proposition 7.1}\]

\[= \bigoplus_{p \in P_0/G} \frac{\deg S/p}{[G_p/G_p]} \cdot k[G/\bar{G}_p]. \quad \text{We can omit from the sum the primes $p$ for which $\dim S/p \neq \dim S$, since for these $\deg S/p = 0$.} \quad \square\]
Geometrically, the permutation modules that occur in the statement of the theorem correspond to the way that the group permutes the irreducible components of maximum dimension of the projective variety \( \text{Proj}(S) \).

Now suppose that the action of \( G \) on \( S \) can be written over a finite field \( \mathbb{F}_q \). Recall from Lemma 5.5 that the operation of multiplying all degrees by \( q \) gives us a new ring \( S[q] \) with \( G \)-action and \( \deg_G S[q] = q^{-n} \deg_G S \). Let \( S^q < S \) denote the subring of \( q \)th powers. There is a surjection \( S[q] \rightarrow S^q \) and this is an isomorphism if \( \text{rad } S = 0 \).

**Lemma 7.4.** In the representation ring we have \( \deg_G S^q \leq q^{-n} \deg_G S \) and if \( S \) contains no nilpotents then \( \deg_G S^q = q^{-n} \deg_G S \) in the Green ring.

*Proof.* This follows from the preceding remarks and the Additivity Lemma 5.3. \( \square \)

### 8. Group Cohomology

In this section we apply some of the theory that we have developed to a problem in group cohomology considered by Nick Kuhn [11]. We fix a prime \( p \) and a finite group \( P \) (we do not yet require \( P \) to be a \( p \)-group). Then \( G = \text{Aut}(P) \) acts on the graded commutative ring \( H^*(P) = H^*(P; \mathbb{F}_p) \).

By the Evens-Venkov theorem (e.g. [2] 3.10, 4.2), \( H^*(P) \) is noetherian, hence so is \( H^*(P)^G \), thus \( H^*(P) \) is certainly finitely generated over some commutative polynomial ring \( R \) such that the action of \( G \) commutes with that of \( R \); we can assume that \( \dim R = \dim H^*(P) \).

Given a \( p \)-group \( P \) and a simple \( G \)-module \( V \), Kuhn asked whether the dimension of \( V \) as a composition factor of \( H^*(P) \) is equal to \( \dim H^*(P) \). It was already known from [5], [7] and [13] that \( V \) does occur in \( H^*(P) \).

**Theorem 8.1.** (Kuhn [11]) For \( p \) odd the dimension of \( V \) as a composition factor of \( H^*(P) \) is equal to the dimension of \( H^*(P) \).

The case of \( p = 2 \) is still undecided. Kuhn’s methods used the nilpotent filtration of the category of unstable modules over the Steenrod algebra. We will show how this theorem can be proved using the equivariant degree. Clearly what we need to do is to show that \( V \) occurs as a composition factor of \( \deg_G H^*(P) \).

For any finite elementary abelian \( p \)-group \( E \), let \( F^*(E) = H^*(E)/\text{rad} \), which is just the symmetric algebra \( \mathbb{F}_p [E] = S^*(E^*) \), where \( E^* = \text{Hom}(E, \mathbb{F}_p) \) is in degree 2 (or degree 1 if \( p = 2 \)).

In general, let

\[
F^*(P) = \lim_{E \in \mathcal{A}_P} F^*(E),
\]

where \( \mathcal{A}_P \) denotes the category with objects the elementary abelian subgroups of \( P \) and morphisms the inclusions between them. \( G \) acts naturally on this.

Quillen in [12] (see [2] 5.6) showed that the natural map induced by restrictions, \( r : H^*(P) \rightarrow F^*(P)^P \) is a purely inseparable isogeny (or uniform F-isomorphism): that is that the kernel is nilpotent and there is an integer \( N \) such that \( (F^*(P)^P)^{p^N} \subseteq \text{Im}(r) \). From this he deduced that \( \dim H^*(P) \) is equal to the \( p \)-rank of \( P \), which we will denote by \( n \).

Consider what this means for the degree with values in the representation ring. We have \( \deg_G H^*(P) \geq \deg_G \text{Im}(r) \geq \deg_G ((F^*(P)^P)^{p^N}) \), using Lemma 5.3. By Lemma 7.4 we have \( \deg_G ((F^*(P)^P)^{p^N}) = \frac{1}{p^n} \deg_G F^*(P)^P \), since \( F^*(P) \) contains no nilpotent elements.
Now we work in the Green ring to see that $\deg_G F^*(P)^P = \deg_G (F^*(P))^P$, by Lemma 6.5 (4).

We conclude that it is sufficient to show that $\deg_G F^*(P)$ contains every simple $G$-module as a submodule.

But the Decomposition Theorem 7.3 tells us that

$$\deg_G F^*(P) = \sum_{E \in \mathcal{A}(P)/G} \frac{\deg S/\mathfrak{p}_E}{|N_G(E)/C_G(E)|} \cdot \mathbb{F}_p[G/C_G(E)],$$

where $\mathfrak{p}_E$ denotes the ideal corresponding to $E$. Since each $E$ in the sum has maximal rank, $\deg(S/\mathfrak{p}_E) \neq 0$.

Suppose that some $C_G(E)$ is a $p$-group. Then

$$\text{Hom}_G(V, \mathbb{F}_p[G/C_G(E)]) \cong \text{Hom}_{C_G(E)}(V, \mathbb{F}_p) \neq 0,$$

so $V$ does occur in $\deg_G F^*(P)$ and we are done. That this always happens when $p$ is odd is the content of the next lemma, which appears as [11] 2.3, although we first learnt it from Benson [3]. We include the proof for the convenience of the reader.

**Lemma 8.2.** If $p$ is odd and $E$ is maximal then $C_G(E)$ is a $p$-group.

**Proof.** Consider the composition of homomorphisms $C_G(E) \xrightarrow{\alpha} \text{Aut}(C_P(E)) \xrightarrow{\beta} \text{Aut}(E)$.

The composition is trivial, so it suffices to prove that the kernel of each map is a $p$-group. For $\beta$ we use the result ([6] 3.5.10) that if $p$ is odd and $Q$ is a $p$-group then the kernel of the map $\text{Aut}(Q) \to \text{Aut}(\Omega_1(Q))$ is a $p$-group. (This is the only place in this section where the argument requires $p$ to be odd.)

For $\alpha$ we use Thompson’s $A \times B$ Lemma ([6] 3.5.4), which states that for any $p$-group $P$, if $A \times B \subseteq \text{Aut}(P)$ with $A$ a $p'$-group and $B$ a $p$-group such that $A$ acts trivially on $C_P(B)$, then $A = 1$. We apply this with $A$ some $p'$-subgroup of $\text{Ker}(\alpha)$ and $B$ the image of $E$ in $G$. □

9. **Further results on the degree with values in the representation ring**

We assume that $k$ is a splitting field for the group $G$, but we do not need $R$ to be polynomial.

Let $V$ be a simple $kG$-module and let $M$ be a finitely generated graded $RG$-module. Let $M_V$ denote the part of $M$ that is generated by submodules isomorphic to $V$.

**Lemma 9.1.** $\text{Hom}_{kG}(V, M) \otimes_k V \cong M_V$ by the map $f \otimes v \mapsto f(v)$.

**Proof.** Since $\text{Hom}_{kG}(V, M) \cong \text{End}_{kG}(V, M_V)$ we may assume that $M = M_V$. But now the claimed isomorphism is additive in $M_V$, and $M_V$ is just a direct sum of submodules isomorphic to $V$, so we are reduced to the case where $M_V = V$. But now it holds by the assumption that $k$ is a splitting field, so $\text{End}_{kG}(V) \cong k$ ([4] 7.14). □

The next result is an equivariant analogue of [8] I 7.4.

**Proposition 9.2.** Let $M$ be a finitely generated graded $RG$-module. Then $M$ has a finite filtration $0 = M_0 \leq M_1 \leq \cdots \leq M_m = M$ by graded $RG$-submodules such that $M_i/M_{i-1} \cong R/\mathfrak{p}_i / \mathfrak{p}_i \otimes_k V_i$, where $\mathfrak{p}_i$ is a homogeneous prime ideal of $R$ and $V_i$ is a simple $kG$-module.

1. The minimal elements among the $\mathfrak{p}_i$ occurring are the minimal primes for $M$. 
(2) For each minimal prime $p$ of $M$, let $k(p)$ denote the quotient field of $R/p$. For each simple $kG$-module $V$, the number of times that $R/p \otimes_k V$ occurs as a composition factor of the filtration is equal to the number of times that the simple $R_pG$-module $k(p) \otimes_k V$ occurs as a composition factor of the localisation $M_p$, hence is independent of the filtration.

**Proof.** Let $p$ be an associated prime of $\text{Hom}_{RG}(V,M)$, so it is the annihilator of some $\phi : V \to M$. Thus we have an injection of graded $RG$-modules $R/p \hookrightarrow \text{Hom}_{RG}(V,M), \ r \mapsto r\phi$ and hence an injection $R/p \otimes_k V \hookrightarrow \text{Hom}_{RG}(V,M) \otimes V$.

By Lemma 9.1 this leads to an injection $R/p \otimes_k V \hookrightarrow M$; denote its image by $M_1$.

Now repeat the process with $M/M_1$, and let $M_2$ be the inverse image in $M$ of the resulting submodule. In this way we obtain an ascending sequence of graded $RG$-submodules of $M$, which must terminate since $M$ is noetherian.

Notice that this filtration can be refined to a non-equivariant one by filtering the $V$. Thus (1) follows from the non-equivariant case.

For (2), let $q$ be a minimal prime and consider what happens when we localize at $q$. If $p_i \neq q$ then $(R/p_i)_{q} = 0$, since $q$ is minimal in $\{p_1, \ldots, p_n\}$. If $p_i = q$ then $(R/q)_{q} = k(q)$ and $(R/q \otimes_k V)_{q} = k(q) \otimes_k V$. This is a simple $S_qG$-module since $k$ is a splitting field. \square

Write $m(p,V,M)$ for the number of times that $R/p \otimes_k V$ occurs as a factor in a filtration of $M$ of the type considered in the Theorem above.

**Corollary 9.3.**

$$\deg_G M = \sum_{\dim R/p = \dim M} m(p,V,M) \deg(R/p) \cdot |V|.$$  

There are some straightforward reduction methods for calculating the degree with values in the representation ring.

**Lemma 9.4.** Let $f \in R$ be homogeneous and let $M$ be a finitely generated graded $RG$-module of dimension $m$. Suppose that the dimension of the kernel of the multiplication map $\phi_f : M \to M, \ m \mapsto fm$, has dimension at most $m - 2$. Then $\deg_G^{m-1}(M/fM) = |f| \deg_G^m M$.

**Proof.** There is a short exact sequence $\ker(\phi_f) \to M \xrightarrow{\phi_f} fM[[f]]$, where $[f]$ denotes the degree shift needed to make all the maps degree preserving. Thus $[fM[[f]]] = [M] + O(1/t^{m-2})$ as a Laurent series in $1 - t$ and so $[fM] = t^{[f]}[M] + O(1/t^{m-2})$.

There is also a short exact sequence $fM \to M \to M/fM$, so $[M/fM] = [M] - [fM]$. Combining, we find that $[M/fM] = [M] - t^{[f]}[M] + O(1/t^{m-2})$. Thus $\deg_G^{m-1}[M/fM] = \lim_{t \to 1}(1 - t)^{m-1} \cdot (1 - t^{[f]})[M] = \lim_{t \to 1} \frac{1 - t^{[f]}}{1 - t} \cdot (1 - t)^m [M] = |f| \deg_G^m M$. \square

Our last result follows by repeated use of this lemma.

**Proposition 9.5.** Let $M$ be a finitely generated graded $RG$-module of dimension $m$ and suppose that $f_1, \ldots, f_r \in R$ is an $M$-regular sequence of homogeneous elements. Then

$$\deg_G^m M = \prod |f_i| \cdot \deg_G^{m-r}(M/(f_1, \ldots, f_r)M).$$
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